



Revised Scoring Criteria for 2019 IRWM Plan

Revised Draft: March 9, 2018

Strikeouts or underlines in black = revisions previously review by RAC

Strikeouts or underlines in red = new revisions

Table 9-1: Scoring Criteria for IRWM Grant Opportunities

Criterion	Scoring Procedure	Points Assigned	Percent of Total Score ²
Addresses Multiple Objectives ¹	Score is based on # of objectives addressed ²	6+ objectives = 4 pts 5 objectives = 3 pts 4 objectives = 2 pts 3 objectives = 1 pt	TBD
Spans Multiple Watersheds	Score is based on the level of integration between watersheds	Multiple Watersheds = 4 pts Integration within a single Watershed = 2 pts Only site-specific = 0 pts	TBD
Addresses Multiple Beneficial Uses (BUs)	Score is based on # of beneficial uses addressed	4+ BUs = 4 pts 3 BUs = 3 pts 2 BUs = 2 pts 1 BUs = 1 pt	TBD
Addresses Multiple Watershed Services within the Hydrologic Cycle	Score is based on the number of watershed services ⁴ within the hydrologic cycle	Includes 2+ watershed services = 2 pts Includes 1-2 watershed services = 1 pts Includes no watershed functions = 0 pts	TBD
<u>Sustainable Water Development:</u> Creates New Applied Water or Offsets Potable Demand ³	Score is based on <u>yield of water created or offset</u>	<u>Creates new source of reliable, local, drought-proof supply or reduces demands – consistent yield in average and dry years = 4 pt</u> <u>Creates new water or reduces demands – average conditions only = 2 pts</u> <u>No-Interconnections/ redundancy in supply but no additional yield created = 1 pt</u>	TBD
Linked to Other Water Management Projects	Score is based on Yes/No response	Yes = 4 pt No = 0 pts	TBD
Involves More than One Entity ⁴	Score is based on <u>Yes/No response degree of partnership</u>	<u>2 project partners working jointly on a task, at least 1 not previously awarded IRWM funding = 4 pts</u> <u>2 project partners working jointly on a task = 2 pts</u> <u>2 project partners not engaged in same activity; or multiple financial sponsors = +2 pt</u>	TBD
Implements IRWM Plan Recommendation or Addresses an IRWM Issue ⁵ , IRWM Workgroup Recommendation, or a Recommendation in an Adopted Water Management Plan	Score is based on the kind of planning document that suggests implementing benefits or components of the project	IRWM Plan Recommendation or Issue = 4 pts Workgroup Recommendation = 2 pts Other Adopted Water Management Plan Recommendation = 1 pt	TBD

Criterion	Scoring Procedure	Points Assigned	Percent of Total Score ²
<u>Invest in Directly Benefits Disadvantaged / Environmental Justice Communities and Systems</u>	Score is based on the degree of benefit (direct vs. indirect)	Directly invests in DAC-EDA-URC-EJ water systems, consolidation, OR training = 4 pts Other direct benefits that improve overall conditions in DACs (e.g. habitat improvement) = 4 2 pts Indirect benefits = 1 pt	TBD
<u>Resiliency to Climate Change</u>	Score is based on extent of climate change adaptation or mitigation activity (Climate Change Conceptual Model ⁵)	Reduces very high or high priority vulnerability to climate change ⁶ AND improves knowledge and capacity AND implements climate change mitigation = 4 pts Reduces medium, low, or very low priority vulnerability to climate change ⁶ AND either 1) improves knowledge and capacity OR 2) implements climate change mitigation = 3 pts Improves knowledge and capacity AND implements climate change mitigation = 2 pts Improves knowledge and capacity OR implements climate change mitigation = 1 pt	TBD
<u>Stormwater as a Resource</u>	Score is based on benefit provided	Utilizes stormwater as a resource (e.g., environmental, source water replenishment) = 4 pts Implements onsite capture and reuse = 2 pts	TBD
Optimizes Regional Infrastructure <u>Enhance Infrastructure</u>	Score is based on the degree of benefit (regional vs local)	Optimizes-Enhances regional infrastructure (improved use of existing infrastructure) ⁷ = 4 pts Optimizes-Enhances local infrastructure (improved use of existing infrastructure) = 2 pts	TBD
Other ⁸	TBD	TBD	TBD

1. ½ points may be applied if the project indirectly meets this criterion (see Table 9-3 example for 2007 Objectives).
2. Note that to be considered for IRWM funding, Objectives A and B and one other must be addressed. RAC may be asked to prioritize the IRWM Plan Objectives prior to each grant cycle.
3. Prior to each round of funding, percentages will be applied as appropriate to determine applicable weighting of each criterion in accordance with direction provided by the RAC and the RWMG. Please note that percentages may be set at 0 for any given criteria, indicating that any of these criteria may be removed from consideration for a specific funding opportunity. Conversely, the “Other” category provided in this table indicates that any number of new criteria may be added by the RAC and the RWMG to reflect new or modified funding priorities.
4. ~~Watershed services are defined in Section 9.2.5~~
4. Partnership under this criterion is financial or physical support (active partnership). Passive support, such as letters of support, are not considered partnerships. Partners must be from different organizations (e.g. two departments in a single large organization would not be considered partners for the purpose of this scoring criterion).
5. ~~IRWM Issues are identified in Table 1-2 of the IRWM Plan Update~~
5. Climate Change Conceptual Model is included in Section 2.7.
6. Refer to Table 7-16 for prioritized climate change vulnerabilities.
7. For the purposes of project scoring, “regional infrastructure” is defined as infrastructure serving more than one agency of the same type (e.g., serves two water districts) and “local infrastructure” is defined as infrastructure serving a single agency.
8. “Other” scoring shall consider contribution of project to reducing greenhouse gas emissions, how the project will reduce dependence on Delta Supply, and how the project is related to resource management strategies (see Chapter 8).